SUMMONS FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): CHARLES WEBB,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):
GREENBRIER INN, LOUIS C. HOLTZ, BEVERLY M. HOLTZ, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral services. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una certa o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que ustad pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin finos de tucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitto web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California.

(www.courtinfo.ca.gov/setthelp/espannit) o regiéndose en contrata esta de las cortes de California.

		anogados locale	is.	
The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):		SE NUMBER:	I NU 38	3023
Superior Court, County of San Dieg	o, North County Branch			, ~ , ~ ~
325 South Melrose	•			
Vista, CA 92081				
The name, address, and telephone number o <i>(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfi</i> Mark D. Potter / Russell C. Handy	f plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorne no del abogado del demandante, o del demanda	ante que no tien	re abogado, es)	:
	3405 Kenyon Street,	Suite 502		•
Center for Disability Access, LLP	San Diego, CA 9211	10 - M. Baiz:	ఫ ⁽⁶¹⁹⁾ 226-9	9010
DATE: (Fecha) JUN 2 1 2004	Clerk, by	,,,,		Deputy
(Fecha) JUN Z 1 200	(Secretario)	, :	14	(Adjunto)
Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use NOTICE TO SEAL) 1 as 2 as	pof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) el formulario Proof of Service of Summons (POS- THE PERSON SERVED; You are served an individual defendant. The person sued under the fictitious name of (specific penalt)	∍c(fy);		
under:	CCP 416.10 (corporation)	CCP 416.	60 (minor)	

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 (Rev. January 1, 2004)

SUMMONS

CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)

□ other (*specify*): **と**ついらい

by personal delivery on (date):

CCP 416.40 (association or paitnership)

Code of Ctvli Procedure §§ 412.20, 465

American Legalitet, Inc. | www.USCourtForms.com|

CCP 416.70 (conservatee)

entito

CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

SUM-100

		•		
C	ı	#~D	1	n

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state ba	number, and address):	[][] FOH HOUST DEFENSE ! [] Q				
Mark D. Potter / Russell C. Handy						
- Center for Disability Access, LLP	bl	(10)				
	an Diego, CA 92110	HALP COURT				
	жм.: (б19) 226-9030	Y. C,				
ATTORNEY FOR (Namo): CHARLES WEBB		-				
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Dieg STREET ADDRESS: 325 South Melrose						
MAILING ADDRESS: 325 South Metrosc						
CITYAND ZIP CODE: Vista, CA 92081		·				
BRANCH NAME: North County Branch						
CASE NAME:		•				
WEBB v. GREENBRIEF	RINN, ET AL.					
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:				
V Unlimited Limited	Complex onso proignation	GIN038023				
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder					
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendan	TOBE:				
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 1811)	DEPT.;				
	<u> </u>					
	ms below must be completed (see instructi	ons on page 2).				
1. Check one box below for the case type that						
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation				
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 1800-1812)				
Uninsured motorist (48)	Collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)				
Other Pt/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Insurance coverage (18)	Construction defect (10)				
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other contract (37)	Mass tort (40)				
Asbestos (04)		Securities littgation (28)				
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental /Toxic fort (30)				
	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	I have a series and also printed from the				
Medical malpractice (45)		above littled provisionally complex case types (41).				
Other PVPD/WD (23)	Wrongful eviction (33)					
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment				
Business tort/unfair business practice (0)	Uniawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)				
Civil rights (08)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint				
Defamation (13)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)				
Fraud (16)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)				
intellectual property (19)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition				
Professional negligence (25)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)				
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)				
Employment	Writ of mandate (02)	-, (,				
Wrongful termination (36)	Other judicial review (39)					
Other employment (15)						
	place was a story of the College's Dule	n of Court If the case is personally and the				
This case is is not comfactors requiring exceptional judicial management	idemost. Ibiex nucles tale 1900 of the California priles	s of Court. If the case is complex, mark the				
		nf withaceae				
a. Large number of separately repr						
b. Extensive motion practice raising		ith related actions pending in one or more courts				
issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other counties, states or countries, or in a federal count						
c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial post-judgment judicial supervision						
3. Type of remedies sought (check all that apply):						
a. w monetary b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive						
 Number of causes of action (specify): 						
5. This case is is is not a c	ass action suit.	4				
Date: May 5, 2004						
Mark D. Potter) Z=					
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)				
THE CONTINUE WATER	NOTICE					
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed						
under the Probate, Family, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cat: Rules of Court, rule 201.8.) Failure to file may result in						
sanctions.						
File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.						
If this case is complex under rule 1800 et	seq. of the California Rules of Court, you n	nust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all				
other parties to the action or proceeding.						
Unless this is a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2						

2009 月18 21 1 CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS, LLP MARK D. POTTER, ESQ., SBN 166317 RUSSELL C. HANDY, ESQ., SBN 195058 3405 Kenyon Street, Suite 502 San Diego, CA 92110-5008 (619) 226-9010 2 3 4 Fax: (619) 226-9030 5 Attorney for Plaintiff, CHARLES WEBB, 6 7 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 10 11 Case No.: GIN038023 CHARLES WEBB, 12 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND Plaintiff. 13 RIGHTS ACT; NEGLIGENCE; CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR BUSINESS 14 GREENBRIER INN, LOUIS C. HOLTZ, 15 PRACTICE ACT BEVERLY M. HOLTZ, and DOES through 10, inclusive 16 **DEMAND FOR JURY** Defendants. 17 18 19 Plaintiff CHARLES WEBB, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") complains of 20 GREENBRIER INN, LOUIS C. HOLTZ, BEVERLY M. HOLTZ, and DOES 1 21 through 10, inclusive, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants") and alleges as follows: 22 INTRODUCTION: 23 1. This is a Civil Rights action for discrimination against persons with physical 24 disabilities, of which Plaintiff is a member of said class, for failure to remove 25 architectural barriers structural in nature at Defendants' place of business, located at

560 Greenbrier Drive, Oceanside, California, a place of public accommodation; and for

failure to modify practices and or policies in order to accommodate, thereby

26

27

28

discriminatorily denying Plaintiff and the class of other similarly situated persons with physical disabilities access to, the full and equal enjoyment of, opportunity to participate in, and benefit from, the goods, facilities, services, and accommodations thereof.

2. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and damages for violations of civil rights and for damages flowing from such violations.

PARTIES:

- 3. Plaintiff is a California resident with physical disabilities.
- 4. Defendants, GREENBRIER INN, LOUIS C. HOLTZ, BEVERLY M. HOLTZ, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive (hereinafter alternatively referred to collectively as "Defendants"), are the owners and operators, lessors and/or lessees, or agents of the owners, lessors and/or lessees, and/or alter egos, franchisers and/or franchisees, of the building and/or buildings which constitute a public facility in and of itself, occupied by the above described defendants, and subject to the requirements of federal and state law requiring full and equal access to public accommodations and facilities.
- 5. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendants, their business capacities, their ownership connection to the property and business, or their relative responsibilities in causing the access violations herein complained of, and alleges a joint venture and common enterprise by all such Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the Defendants herein, including DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, is responsible in some capacity for the events herein alleged, or is a necessary party for obtaining appropriate relief. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend when the true names, capacities, connections, and responsibilities of the Defendants and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are ascertained.

PRELIMINARY FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS:

6. Defendants are or were at the time of the incident the owners and operators, lessors and lessees of the public facility, located at 560 Greenbrier Drive, Oceanside,

California. The public accommodation, its path of travel, parking, restrooms and its other facilities are each a "public accommodation or facility" subject to the requirements of state and federal law. On information and belief, each such facility has, since July 1, 1970, undergone "alterations, structural repairs and additions," each of which has subjected the public accommodations, and each of their facilities to handicapped access requirements per the Americans with Disabilities Act Access Guidelines (ADAAG) and Title 24 of California's Code of Regulations.

- 7. On at least once occasion within the statutory period preceding the filing of this complaint, Plaintiff was an invitee and customer at the subject public accommodation.
- 8. During Plaintiff's visit, the subject public accommodation exhibited various violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines ("ADAAG") and Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations including but not limited to: a lack of a properly configured disabled bathroom facilities;
- 9. On information and belief, other portions of the facility were improperly inaccessible for use by persons with physical disabilities.
- 10.On information and belief, the facilities continue to the date of filing this complaint to deny equal access to Plaintiff and other persons with physical disabilities.
- 11. As a result of the inaccessible facilities, Plaintiff was humiliated, embarrassed and frustrated, suffering emotional injuries.
- 12. Defendants knew their facilities were inaccessible and continued to maintain these facilities in an inaccessible condition and in doing so, knowingly continued to violate and interfere with the rights of disabled persons including Plaintiff. Such conduct by defendants is despicable, and was carried on by defendant with a willful and conscious disregard for the rights of disabled persons, including Plaintiff.
- 13. Plaintiff would like to return and use the Defendants' public accommodations but because of Defendants' violations, Plaintiff and other persons with physical disabilities are unable to use public facilities such as those owned and operated by

б

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25 26

27 28 Defendants on a "full and equal" basis unless such facility is in compliance with the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines and state accessibility law as pled herein. Plaintiff has, therefore, been deterred from returning and using the Defendants' public accommodations.

14. Plaintiff is informed and believes and therefore alleges that Defendants and each of them (1) caused the subject improved real properties which constitute the subject public accommodation to be constructed, altered and maintained in such a manner that persons with physical disabilities were denied full and equal access to, within and throughout said improved real property(s); (2) that the Defendants have had actual and constructive notice that the facilities were not legally accessible to persons with disabilities; (3) that despite being informed of such effect on Plaintiff and other persons with physical disabilities due to the lack of accessible facilities, Defendants, and each of them, knowingly and willfully refused to take any steps to rectify the situation and to provide full and equal access for Plaintiff and other persons with physical disabilities to the subject public accommodation. Said defendants, and each of them, have continued such practices, in conscious disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff and other persons with physical disabilities. Said conduct, with knowledge of the effect it was and is having on Plaintiff and other persons with physical disabilities, constitutes despicable conduct in conscious disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and of other similarly situated persons, justifying the imposition of punitive and exemplary damages per Civil Code section 3294.

- I. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT (On behalf of Plaintiff and Against All Defendants) (Cal Civ § 51 et seq.)
- 15. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.
- 16. California Civil Code § 52 provides that a party that discriminates against a plaintiff in violation of Civ. Code § 51 shall be liable for actual damages, up to three times actual damages but not less than \$4000 for each such offense, and any attorney's

Count One:

б

17. The Defendants have not ensured that their facilities comply with Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations, the California Building Code as it applies to physical access for persons with disabilities and failed to ensure that disabled persons have "full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services" to the facilities identified above.

Count Two:

18. The Defendants have not complied with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.

II. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE (On behalf of the Plaintiff and Against All Defendants)

- 19. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.
- 20. Defendants had a duty to exercise ordinary care, i.e., comply with the various accessibility laws and ensure that their property was safely configured.
- 21.Defendants failed to exercise ordinary care in that they failed to ensure that their facilities complied with the accessibility guidelines or that their facilities were configured to promote safe and effective use by persons with wheelchairs.
- 22. As the actual and proximate result of Defendants' failure to exercise ordinary care, Plaintiff suffered damages in an amount to be determined by proof.
- 23. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for relief and damages and relief as hereinafter stated.
- III. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES ACT (On behalf of the Public and Against All Defendants) (Cal. Bus. & Prof. § 17200 et seq.)
- 24. Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth again herein, the allegations contained in all prior paragraphs of this complaint.

25.In addition to the access violations described above, Defendants' facilities are in violation of California and Federal law in that they do not provide required access for disabled persons.

26.Defendants' acts and omissions alleged herein are a violation of both statutory requirements and public policy and, therefore, constitute a violation of Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq.

27. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself/herself and the general public, seeks injunctive relief requiring Defendants to remedy the disability access violations present at their facilities.

28. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for relief and damages and relief as hereinafter stated.

PRAYER:

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays that this court award damages and provide relief as follows:

- 1. For injunctive relief, compelling Defendants to comply with the Unruh Civil Rights Act.
 - 2. General and Special damages in an amount to be determined by proof;
- 3. Reasonable attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §§ 52, and Cal. Civ. Proc. § 1021.5;
 - 4. For Punitive Damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code Section 3294(c)(1);
 - 5. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.

Dated: May 4, 2004 CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS, LLP

MARK D. POTTER RUSSELL C. HANDY Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury for all claims for which a jury is permitted.

Dated: May 4, 2004

CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS, LLP

By

MARK D. POTTER RUSSELL C. HANDY Attorneys for Plaintiff

. 1

15.